RESEARCH

A comparison of clinical officers with medical doctors on outcomes of caesarean section in the developing world: meta-analysis of controlled studies

Amie Wilson, doctoral researcher,¹ David Lissauer, clinical research fellow,¹ Shakila Thangaratinam, senior lecturer in obstetrics and maternal medicine,² Khalid S Khan, professor of women's health and clinical epidemiology,² Christine MacArthur, professor of maternal and child epidemiology,³ Arri Coomarasamy, reader in reproductive medicine and gynaecology¹

ABSTRACT

¹School of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, College of Medical and Dental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK

BM

²Centre for Health Sciences, Barts and The London School of Medicine and Dentistry, Queen Mary University of London, London. UK

³School of Health and Population Sciences, College of Medical and Dental Sciences, University of Birmingham, UK

Correspondence to: A Coomarasamy, Academic Unit (University of Birmingham), Birmingham Women's Foundation Trust, Birmingham B15 2TG, UK

a.coomarasamy@bham.ac.uk Cite this as: *BMJ* 2011;342:d2600

doi:10.1136/bmj.d2600

Objective To review the effectiveness and safety of clinical officers (healthcare providers trained to perform tasks usually undertaken by doctors) carrying out caesarean section in developing countries compared with doctors. **Design** Systematic review with meta-analysis. **Data sources** Medline, Embase, Cochrane Central

Register of Controlled Trials, CINAHL, BioMed Central, the Reproductive Health Library, and the Science Citation Index (inception-2010) without language restriction. **Study selection** Controlled studies.

Data extraction Information was extracted from each selected article on study characteristics, quality, and outcome data. Two independent reviewers extracted data.

Results Six non-randomised controlled studies (16 018 women) evaluated the effectiveness of clinical officers carrying out caesarean section. Meta-analysis found no significant differences between the clinical officers and doctors for maternal death (odds ratio 1.46, 95% confidence interval 0.78 to 2.75; P=0.24) or for perinatal death (1.31, 0.87 to 1.95; P=0.19). The results were heterogeneous, with some studies reporting a higher incidence of both outcomes with clinical officers. Clinical officers were associated with a higher incidence of wound infection (1.58, 1.01 to 2.47; P=0.05) and wound dehiscence (1.89, 1.21 to 2.95; P=0.005). Two studies accounted for confounding factors.

Conclusion Clinical officers and doctors did not differ significantly in key outcomes for caesarean section, but the conclusions are tentative owing to the non-randomised nature of the studies. The increase in wound infection and dehiscence may highlight a particular training need for clinical officers.

INTRODUCTION

Many developing countries have a shortage of trained doctors. Rural areas are particularly affected, as doctors predominantly congregate in urban areas.¹ Various problems have been linked with the depletion in the workforce, including HIV (either because of death,

sickness, or fear of exposure to the disease), the migration of trained staff, and the lack of resources and personal income. $^{\rm 1-4}$

In some developing countries clinical officers were temporarily posted to alleviate the shortage of medical doctors.³⁵ However, they have now become a more permanent strategy, being described as the "backbone" of healthcare in several settings.⁵ Clinical officers have a separate training programme to medical doctors, but their roles include many medical and surgical tasks usually carried out by doctors, such as anaesthesia, diagnosis and treatment of medical conditions, and prescribing. The perceived benefits of using clinical officers compared with doctors are reduced training and employment costs as well as enhanced retention within the local health systems.³⁴⁶

The scope of practice of a clinical officer within obstetrics is often determined by the country in which they work.² In 19 out of 47 sub-Saharan African countries, clinical officers are authorised to provide obstetric care, yet in only five countries are they permitted to carry out caesarean sections and other emergency obstetric surgery.5 Given that caesarean section is the most common major surgical procedure in sub-Saharan Africa7 and must be delivered in a timely fashion to save a mother's life,8 clinical officers could potentially play an important part in increasing accessibility and availability of emergency obstetric care, particularly caesarean section. However, uncertainty exists about their role,1 training, effectiveness, and safety. Given the central role that clinical officers increasingly have in the provision of obstetric care, we systematically reviewed and meta-analysed the effectiveness of clinical officers in caesarean section.

METHODS

We searched databases for relevant literature on clinical officers within obstetrics in the developing world, with particular attention to maternal and perinatal mortality rates and adverse outcomes. We searched Medline, Embase, Cochrane Central Register of

Table 1 Quality assessment of micluded studies using newcastle-Ottawa:	lable	la	apl	a 1	Quality	assessment	of included	studies	using	Newcastle-Ottawa	scal
--	-------	----	-----	-----	---------	------------	-------------	---------	-------	------------------	------

			Selectio	on			Comp	arability	ý			Outcome		
Study	Represen- tative- ness of exposed cohort	Selection of non- exposed cohort	Ascertain- ment of exposure	Assessed outcome of interest was not present at start	Total	Risk of bias	Comparabil- ity of cohorts on basis of design or analysis	Total	Risk of bias	Assess- ment of outcome	Follow-up adequate for outcomes to occur	Adequate follow-up of cohorts	Total	Risk of bias
White 1987 ¹¹	*	—	*	*	3	Medium	_	0	High	*	_	_	1	High
Pereira 1996 ¹	*	—	*	*	3	Medium	_	0	High	*	—	—	1	High
Fenton 2003 ⁸	*	—	*	*	3	Medium	**	2	Low	*	—	_	1	High
Chilopora 2007 ¹⁰	*	—	*	*	3	Medium	_	0	High	*	—	*	2	Medium
Hounton 2009 ³	*	—	*	*	3	Medium	*	1	Medium	*	—	_	1	High
McCord 2009 ¹²	*	—	*	*	3	Medium	_	0	High	*	—	—	1	High

Controlled Trials, CINAHL, BioMed Central, the Reproductive Health Library, and the Science Citation Index (from inception to August 2010). Hand searches complemented electronic searches, and we checked reference lists. Search terms were "clinical officer", "medical officer", "assistant medical officer", "medex", and "non physician clinicians". No language restrictions were applied to the search.

We selected controlled studies that compared clinical officers and medically trained doctors for caesarean section in the developing world setting and that reported on any clinically relevant maternal or perinatal outcomes. The electronic searches were firstly scrutinised and full manuscripts of relevant studies were obtained. A final decision on inclusion or exclusion of manuscripts was made after two reviewers (AW and DL) had examined these manuscripts. Information was extracted from each selected article on study characteristics, quality, and outcome data. Descriptive studies were also examined to explore further the role of the clinical officer.

Methodological quality assessment

We assessed the selected studies for methodological quality using the Newcastle-Ottawa scale.⁹ The controlled studies were evaluated for representativeness, selection, and comparability of the cohorts, ascertainment of the intervention and outcome, and the length and adequacy of follow-up. The risk of bias was regarded as low if a study obtained four stars for selection, two for comparability, and three for ascertainment of exposure.⁹ The risk of bias was considered to be medium in studies with two or three stars for selection, one for comparability, and two for exposure. Any study scoring one or zero stars for selection, comparability, or exposure was deemed to have a high risk of bias.

Data synthesis

We used the random effects model to pool the odds ratios from individual studies. Heterogeneity of treatment effects was evaluated using forest plots, χ^2 and I^2

tests; the terms low, moderate, and high heterogeneity were assigned to I² values of over 25%, 50%, and 75%, respectively. Where possible we present data for adjusted estimates on the forest plot to account for confounding factors. Analyses were done using Revman 5.0 statistical software.

RESULTS

Six non-randomised controlled cohort studies (16 018 women) were included in the review (table 1 and fig 1).¹³⁸¹⁰⁻¹² When methodological quality was assessed on the Newcastle-Ottawa scale, most studies had a medium risk for selection bias and medium to high risk for comparability and outcome assessment (table 2).

Maternal mortality

All six studies compared maternal mortality. The metaanalysis showed no statistically significant difference between the clinical officers and doctors (odds ratio 1.46, 95% confidence interval 0.78 to 2.75; P=0.24, fig 2). However, the analysis found significant heterogeneity (P=0.03), which was moderate (I²=60%). In one⁸ of the two studies³⁸ that showed an increase in maternal mortality with clinical officers in the crude

Total citations identified from electronic searches (n=7687)
Excluded after screening of titles or abstracts (n=7634)
Articles retrieved for detailed evaluation (n=65): From electronic search (n=53) From reference list or citation link (n=12)
Excluded (n=59): Duplicate data (n=0) Outcome not available (n=6) Studies not controlled (n=22) Inappropriate population (n=31)
Articles included in systematic review (n=6)

Fig 1| Study selection in review

Table 2 Character	istics of studies inc	luded in review							
Study, country	Details	Population	Characteristics of women	No (%) of caesarean sections	Indication for caesarean section	Surgeon allocation	Operation type	Potential confounders	Outcomes
White 1987, ¹¹ Zaire									
Clinical officers	Nurses trained to carry out caesarean sections, laparotomies, and supracervical hysterectomies	Pregnant women needing caesarean section at wo rural hospitals or health centres in northwest Zaire	Not given	310 (88)	No separate details given on surgeon and indication derais only given for Karawa hospital (321 caesarean sections): cephalopelvic disproportion and obstructed labour 159 (50%), uterine inertia 70 (25%), previous caesarean section 54 (17%), fetal distress 22 (7%), placenta praevia 16 (4%)	One unit was without a doctor for one year; nurses carried out caesarean sections. In one unit acctors did not routinely do the most complicated operations, whereas in the other unit doctors operated on the most critical cases. Doctors carried out caesarean sections when no nurse was available, or when medical students were being trained	Not given	Delay in seeking care, delay in reaching care (transportation), other medical complications. No adjustments made	Maternal death
Doctors	Qualified doctor		Not given	43 (12)			Not given		
Pereira 1996, ¹ Mozambique:									
Clinical officers	Assistant medical officers (clinical officers with surgical training)	Pregnant women needing caesarean sections at central hospital. Caesarean section rate was 16.5%. This was only hospital carrying out caesarean sections	Age 25.3 years, parity 2.2, resided in shanty town 35 3 (37%), antenatal care 948 (99%), twin pregnancy 25 (3%)	958 (46)	Fetal distress 308 (32.2%), cephalopelvic disproportion 177 (18.5%), previous caesarean section 89 (9.3%), pracental abruption or praevia 79 (8.2%), impending uterine rupture 72 (7.5%), eclampsia 31 (3.2%), pre- eclampsia 26 (2.7%), no information 176 (18.4%)	No random allocation for ethical reasons. Some selection may have occurred when patients were allotted to clinical officers or doctors	Caesarean section only 682 (71%), caesarean section plus subtotal hysterectomy 8 (1%), caesarean section plus total hysterectomy 3 (0.5%), caesarean section plus uterine repair 4 (0.5%), no plus tubal ligation 257 (26.5%), no information 4 (0.5%)	Age, parity, resided in shanty town, homeowner, antenatal care, twin pregnancy. No adjustments made	Maternal death, duration of postoperative stay, wound rupture or separation, condition of newborn
Doctors	Trained obstetricians and gynaecologists		Age 25.5 years, parity 2.2, resided in shanty town 404 (36%), antenatal care 1101 (99%), twin pregnancy 21 (2%)	1113 (54)	Fetal distress 326 (29.3%), cephalopelvic disproportion 212 (19%), previous caesarean section 133 (11.9%), placental abruption or praevia 74 (6.6%), impending uterine rupture 68 (6.1%), eclampsia 40 (3.6%), pre-eclampsia 33 (3.%), no information 227 (20.5%)	It was noted that emergencies prevented doctors attending complicated caesarean section. Furthermore, doctors carried out all elective caesarean sections (145, 7.0%)	Caesarean section only 832 (74.5%), caesarean section plus subtotal hysterectomy 10 (1%), caesarean section plus total hysterectomy 4 (0.5%), caesarean section plus uterine repair 5 (0.5%), caesarean section plus tubal ligation 256 (23%), no information 6 (0.5%)		
Fenton 2003, ⁸ Malawi:									
Clinical officers	Clinical officer surgeons	Women requiring caesarean section to deliver baby, dead or alive, after 28 weeks, including surgery for ruptured uterus. 23	No separate details given on surgeons for characteristics: district hosp ital 5236 (65%), urban hospital 2834 (35%)	5256 (65)	No separate details given on surgeon and indication for caesarean. Obstructed labour 5110 (63%), fetal distress 885 (11%), antepartum haemorrhage	Not given	Not given	Previous caesarean section, preoperative complications, fever, status and training of anaesthetist and	Maternal death, perinatal death

BMJ: first published as 10.1136/bmj.d2600 on 13 May 2011. Downloaded from http://www.bmj.com/ on 15 August 2021 by guest. Protected by copyright.

ountry	Details	Population	Characteristics of women	No (%) of caesarean sections	Indication for caesarean section	Surgeon allocation	Operation type	Potential confounders	Outcomes
		district and two central hospitals			384 (5%), pre-eclampsia 268 (3%), haemorrhagic shock 610 (8%), ruptured uterus 333 (4%), other 480 (6%)			surgeon, type of anaesthesia, blood loss, and need for blood transfusion. Adjusted for confounders	
	Medically qualified doctors			2814 (35)			Not given		
10									
v ۲	Licensed to practise independently and to carry out major emergency and elective surgery. Length of surgical experience: 44% > 2 -3 years, 24.3% < 2 -3 years, 21.4% < 1 year, 9.3% 0 years, 0.6% no data	All women undergoing caesarean section during study period were included in 38 district hospitals; most were emergency caesarean sections	No major differences between groups operated on by clinical officers and doctors	1875 (88)	No separate details given on surgeon and indication for caesarean section: cephalopelvic disproportion or obstructed labour 1230 (57.7%), previous caesarean section 452 (32.2%), irrela distress 264 (12.3%), irrela distress 264 (12.3%), irrela distress 264 (12.3%), cord prolapse 77 (3.6%), cord prolapse 77 (3.6%), cord prolapse 77 (3.6%), cord prolapse 77 (3.6%), cord prolapse progress, 60 (2.8%), progress, 60 (2.8%), progress, 60 (2.8%), progress, 60 (2.8%), progress, 60 (2.8%), (2.3%)	Not given	Caesarean section only 1569 (84%), caesarean section plus subtotal hysterectomy 11 (0.5%), caesarean section plus total hysterectomy 7 (0.5%), caesarean section plus uterine repair 59 (2.5%), caesarean section plus tubal ligation plus tubal ligation 224 (12%), not indicated 5 (0.5%)	Duration of surgeon's practice, region of hospital, type of operation (if hysterectomy needed also). No adjustments made adjustments made	Maternal death, infection, wound dehiscence, fever, perinatal death
	Trained doctors. Length of surgical experience: 59% > 4 years, 19.9% 2-3 years, 17.2% < 1 year, 3.9% no data			256 (12)			Caesarean section only 185 (72%), caesarean section plus subtotal hysterectomy 8 (3%), caesarean section plus total hysterectomy 3 (2%), caesarean section plus uterine repair 7 (3%), caesarean section plus tubal ligation 53 (20%), ont indicated 0 (0%)		
_									
ñ	Clinical officers working in both rural and urban hospital	2305 pregnant women needing caesarean section in 22 public sector urban and rural hospitals	Age 25 years, urban hospital 198 (27%), rural hospital 535 (73%)	733 (32)	Obstructed labour 388 (53%), ruptured uterus 81 (11%), eclampsia 15 (2%), haemorrhage 44 (6%), other 205 (28%)	No details given on selection of operator; retrospective study. Doctors were, however, associated with more referred cases, although this was not statistically significant	Not given	Place of hospital, maternal age, reported clinical conditions; conditions; conditions; eclampsia, haemorrhage, referral from other unit, type of anaesthesia. Adjustments made but adjusted statistics not given	Maternal death, perinatal death, haemorrhage, wound infection, wound dehiscence dehiscence

Outcomes			aternal death, erinatal death	
Potential confounders			Condition on N admission, type of p operation, indication for operation, blood transfusions. No adjustments made adjustments made	
Operation type	Not given		Not given	Not given
Surgeon allocation			No reason given for choice of operator. Clinical officers were, however, more likely to carry out caesarean section with absolute matermal indicators or clear fetal indicator. Clinical officers had more difficulties than doctors in obtaining blood for transfusion	No reason given for choice of operator. Doctors were, however, less likely to carry out caesarean section for absolute matemal indicators or clear fetal indicator. Doctors had less difficutites than clinical officers in obtaining blood for transfusion
Indication for caesarean section	Obstructed labour 679 (43%), ruptured uterus 151 (9%), eclampsia 76 (5%), haemorrhage 84 (6%), other 581 (37%)		Ab solute matemal indication (antepartum haemorrhage, postpartum haemorrhage, mapresentation, eclampsia, etcopic pregnancy, ruptured uterus, sepsis, and repair of vesicovaginal fistula) 312 (33.1%), major acute problem (sever anaemia, symptomatic AIDS, symptomatic MDS, 172 (18.2%), no information 398 (42.1%)	Absolute matemal indication (antepartum haemorrhage, postpartum haemorrhage, postpartum malpresentation, eclampsia, ectopic pregnancy, ruptured tretus, sepsis, and repair of vesicovaginal fistula) 48 (33.6%), major acute problem (no details given) 14 (9.8%), major acute problem (severe anaemia, symptomatic MIDS, symptomatic malaria) 22 (15.3%), no information 59 (41.3%)
No (%) of caesarean sections	1572 (68)		945 (87)	143 (13)
Characteristics of women	Age 25 years, urban hospital 877 (56%), rural hospital 143 (9%)		Not given	Not given
Population			1088 pregnant women in 14 mission and government hospitals	Medical school graduates with > 1 year intemship
Details	Trained doctors based at urban and rural hospitals		Officially authorised assistant medical officers to provide clinical services, prescriptions, and minor surgery. Permitted to carryout obstetric care and caesarean section	Trained doctors
Study, country	Doctors	McCord 2009, ¹² Tanzania:	Clinical officers	Doctors

RESEARCH

	No of eve caesarea	nts/No of n sections
Study	Clinical officer	Medical officer
Maternal mortality		

Chilopora 2007	22/1875	1/256						
Fenton 2003	67/5256	18/2814						
Hounton 2009	26/733	19/1572						
McCord 2009 16/945 5/143								
Pereira 1996	7/958	10/1113						
White 1987 3/310 0/43								
Subtotal (95% Cl) 10 077 5941								
Total events 141 53								
Test for heterogeneity: $\tau^2=0.32$,								
χ ² =12.53, df=5, P	=0.03, l ² =60	0%						
Test for overall effect: z=1.18, P=0.24								

Perinatal mortality

Chilopora 2007	201/1875	33/256						
Fenton 2003	682/5256	224/2814						
Hounton 2009	145/733	170/1572						
McCord 2009 49/945 4/143								
Pereira 1996 69/958 99/1113								
Subtotal (95% CI)	9767	5898						
Total events 1146 530								
Test for heterogeneity: $\tau^2=0.16$,								
χ ² =33.68, df=4, P<0.001, I ² =88%								
Test for overall effe	ct: z=1.30, F	P=0.19						

Wound infection

Chilopora 2007	137/1875	14/256							
Hounton 2009 14/733 15/1572									
Subtotal (95% Cl) 2608 1828									
Total events 151 29									
Test for heterogeneity: τ^2 =0.00,									
χ ² =0.70, df=1, P=0.40, l ² =0%									
Test for overall effect: z=2.00, P=0.05									
Wound dehiscence	_								

Chilopora 2007	40/1875	4/256		
Hounton 2009	1/733	4/1572		
Pereira 1996	44/958	24/1113		
Subtotal (95% CI)	3566	2941		
Total events	85	32		
Test for heterogenei	0.0	01		
χ ² =1.95, df=2, P=0	0.38, l ² =0%		Fa cli	vours inical
Test for overall effect	t: z=2.81, P	=0.005		

Fenton 2003; adjusted for rural setting, previous caesarean section, haemorrhage, other perioperative medical complication, and level of training of surgeon. Adjusted odds ratios are provided for indicative purposes, and are not part of the meta-analysis

* Adjusted odds ratio 1.40 (0.70 to 2.90) † Adjusted odds ratio 1.10 (0.80 to 1.30)

Fig 2 | Clinical officers compared with doctors on outcomes of caesarean section

analysis, the increase was no longer statistically significant when the analysis was adjusted for rural setting, previous caesarean section, haemorrhage, other perioperative medical complications, and the level of training of the surgeon (adjusted odds ratio 1.4, 95%) confidence interval 0.7 to 2.9). The second study³ that showed an increase in maternal mortality with the clinical officers also adjusted the analysis, but for reported diagnosis and referral status; the adjusted estimates



were not, however, provided. The overall maternal mortality rate in the six studies was high, at 1.2%.

Five studies^{1 3 8 10 12} (15 665 women) compared perina-

tal mortality. The meta-analysis showed no significant

difference between the groups (odds ratio 1.31, 95%)

confidence interval 0.87 to 1.95; P=0.19, fig 2). The

analysis found significant heterogeneity (P<0.01),

which was high (I²=88%). In one⁸ of the two studies³⁸

that showed an increase in perinatal mortality with

clinical officers in the crude analysis, the increase was no longer statistically significant when adjusted for confounding factors (adjusted odds ratio 1.1, 95% confidence interval 0.8 to 1.3). The overall perinatal mortality rate in the five studies was high, at 10.7%.

Two studies³¹⁰ (4436 women) compared the rates of

wound infection. The meta-analysis found a significant

increase in wound infection with clinical officers (odds

ratio 1.58, 95% confidence interval 1.01 to 2.47;

P=0.05, fig 2). Heterogeneity was not significant

Three studies^{1 3 10} (6507 women) compared the rates of wound dehiscence. The meta-analysis showed a significant increase in wound dehiscence when clinical

Wound infection and wound dehiscence

Perinatal mortality

 $(P=0.40, I^2=0\%).$



officers carried out caesarean sections compared with doctors (odds ratio 1.89, 95% confidence interval 1.21 to 2.95; P=0.005, fig 2). Evidence of significant heterogeneity was lacking (P=0.38, I²=0%). Training of clinical officers

All six papers gave training details of clinical officers; training length and specification varied between countries. In Zaire¹¹ and Burkina Faso,³ nurses attend a two year training course to become clinical officers, with an additional 1-2 years of surgical training in Zaire. In Malawi⁸¹⁰ and Mozambique,¹ clinical officers require a three year health foundation course, with a year as an intern at a hospital or in surgical training. In Tanzania,12 clinical officers undergo three years' medical training, with a further two years in clinical training plus three months in surgery and three months in obstetrics. In Burkina Faso,3 clinical officers are required to undergo a six month curriculum in emergency surgery to carry out operative obstetric care.

DISCUSSION

The meta-analysis did not show a statistically significant difference in maternal or perinatal mortality in caesarean sections carried out by clinical officers compared with doctors. However, when the outcomes of wound dehiscence and wound infection were assessed, both were significantly more frequent in caesarean sections carried out by clinical officers.

Strengths and limitations of the review

All of the six studies examined were comparative cohort studies. As they were not randomised trials,

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC

When compared with doctors, clinical officers cost less to train and employ, and are retained better within health systems in developing countries

Clinical officers are the backbone of obstetric care in many developing countries, performing as much as $4/5^{\text{th}}$ of caesarean sections in some countries

There is uncertainty about the effectiveness and safety of clinical officers performing caesarean section surgery

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

Meta-analysis of six controlled studies found no differences between the clinical officers and doctors for maternal and perinatal death after caesarean section

Wound dehiscence and wound infection were found to be significantly more frequent in caesarean sections performed by clinical officers

there is the potential for bias. When methodological quality was assessed on the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale there was a medium risk of selection bias and a medium to high risk of bias in comparability and outcome assessment for most studies. For example, in one study,1 elective caesarean sections were exclusively carried out by doctors, whereas emergencies were carried out by both doctors and clinical officers. As elective caesarean section is associated with better outcomes than emergency caesarean section,13 this arrangement would have conferred an advantage to doctors. Furthermore, clinical officers tend to be located in rural areas,3 where access to lifesaving facilities such as blood transfusion and high dependency care may not be available. Another study⁸ tackled such issues by adjusting for rural setting, previous caesarean section, haemorrhage, other perioperative medical complications, and the level of training of the surgeon. Their initial analysis showed an excess in maternal and perinatal mortality associated with clinical officers. However, when adjustments were made for the relevant factors, the difference in these outcomes was no longer statistically significant. This suggests the possibility of more high risk cases in the clinical officer group in this study. It is also plausible that the bias could be in the other direction. For instance, the perceived severity of the situation may have resulted in a doctor rather than a clinical officer carrying out the caesarean section. This may cause bias in favour of clinical officers. Although most studies reported no differences in patient characteristics¹³⁸¹⁰ or indication for caesarean section,13810-12 and some studies adjusted for various factors,38 residual confounding can still exist.

Maternal and perinatal outcomes were statistically significantly heterogeneous, which may reflect the diversity of the setting and the population, indications for surgery, surgical approach and training, and role of the clinical officers in these studies. Given such clinical heterogeneity, it is unsurprising that statistical heterogeneity was identified in the analyses. Formal exploration of the reasons for statistical heterogeneity by study features was limited owing to the small number of studies identified in our review. However, when confounding factors were adjusted for, the observed heterogeneity decreased.

Study implications

Although we acknowledge caution when interpreting the findings of this meta-analysis owing to the non-randomised nature of the included studies, the present study remains the best current evidence on these outcomes.

Clinical officers were associated with an increase in wound infection and dehiscence. This was consistent in the two studies that examined these outcomes. We speculate that these outcomes may be associated with surgical technique and a need for enhanced training. One study¹ highlighted that 97% of caesarean sections were through a vertical abdominal incision, which is known to be associated with increased wound dehiscence and other adverse outcomes when compared with horizontal incisions.14 Thus there may be substantial scope for improvement in surgical technique. Evidence shows that specialist training of clinical officers can improve outcomes. One study8 measured the incidence of maternal death from anaesthesia, when administered by clinical officers who had or had not received formal training. The maternal mortality rate was much higher in those who had not received training compared with those who had (2.4% v 0.9%).

Our review assesses the important and specific role of clinical officers in carrying out caesarean section, which is an immediate determinant of outcome. However, this must be placed within the wider context of the many distant and intermediate determinants of maternal health and mortality¹⁵ (see web extra on bmj.com). Although little work has been done to assess the role of clinical officers in tackling these wider determinants, they can have an important impact on these factors through, for example, increasing access to services⁵¹⁶ and a role in family planning² and broader preventive health programmes⁵¹⁷ to reduce maternal mortality. Furthermore, part of the value of the clinical officer role is that their job can be adapted to suit local needs and conditions. Yet as there are no internationally agreed curriculums or scope of practice guidelines,² the importance of evaluating clinical officers in their specific setting needs to be recognised.

Conclusion

Our meta-analysis suggests that the provision of caesarean section by clinical officers does not result in a significant increase in maternal or perinatal mortality. Enhanced access to emergency obstetric surgery through greater deployment of clinical officers, in countries with poor coverage by doctors, can form part of the solution to meet Millennium Development Goals 4 (reducing child mortality) and 5 (improving maternal health).

We thank Paul Fenton for constructive feedback on the manuscript. **Contributors:** AW and AC conceived the review. AW and DL carried out the search, study selection, and data extraction. AW, ST, and AC analysed the results. AW, AC, and DL drafted the manuscript. CM and KSK provided

RESEARCH

critical revision of the manuscript. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript. AC is the guarantor.

Funding: This study was funded by the registered charity Ammalife (www.ammalife.org) and Birmingham Women's NHS foundation Trust R&D department.

Competing interests: All authors have completed the Unified Competing Interest form at http://www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf (available on request from the corresponding author) and declare that no support from any institution for the submitted work; no relationships with any institution that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous 3 years; their spouses, partners, or children have no financial relationships that may be relevant to the submitted work; and have no non-financial interests that may be relevant to the submitted work. **Ethical approval:** Not required.

Data sharing: No additional data available.

- 1 Pereira C, Bugalho A, Bergstrom S, Vaz F, Cotiro M. A comparative study of caesarean deliveries by assistant medical officers and obstetricians in Mozambique. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* 1996;103:508-12.
- 2 Dovlo D. Using mid-level cadres as substitutes for internationally mobile health professionals in Africa. A desk review. *Hum Resour Health* 2004;2:7.
- 3 Hounton SH, Newlands D, Meda N, De Brouwere V. A costeffectiveness study of caesarean-section deliveries by clinical officers, general practitioners and obstetricians in Burkina Faso. *Hum Resour Health* 2009;7:34.
- 4 Bradley S, McAuliffe E. Mid-level providers in emergency obstetric and newborn health care: factors affecting their performance and retention within the Malawian health system. *Hum Resour Health* 2009;7:14.
- 5 Mullan F, Frehywot S. Non-physician clinicians in 47 sub-Saharan African countries. *Lancet* 2007;370:2158-63.

- 6 Record R, Mohiddin A. An economic perspective on Malawi's medical "brain drain." *Global Health* 2006;2:12.
- 7 Fenton P. The epidemiology of district surgery in Malawi. *East Central African J Surg* 1997;3:33-41.
- 8 Fenton PM, Whitty CJ, Reynolds F. Caesarean section in Malawi: prospective study of early maternal and perinatal mortality. *BMJ* 2003;327:587.
- 9 Wells G. The Newcastle Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of non-randomised studies in meta-analysis. Proceedings of the Third Symposium on Systematic Reviews. Beyond the basics: improving quality and impact; 2000 Jul 3-5. Oxford, 2000.
- 10 Chilopora G, Pereira C, Kamwendo F, Chimbiri A, Malunga E, Bergstrom S. Postoperative outcome of caesarean sections and other major emergency obstetric surgery by clinical officers and medical officers in Malawi. *Hum Resour Health* 2007;5:17.
- 11 White SM, Thorpe RG, Maine D. Emergency obstetric surgery performed by nurses in Zaire. *Lancet* 1987;2:612-3.
- 12 McCord C, Mbaruku G, Pereira C, Nzabuhakwa C, Bergstrom S. The quality of emergency obstetrical surgery by assistant medical officers in Tanzanian district hospitals. *Health Aff (Millwood)* 2009;28:w876-85.
- 13 Lewis G. The Confidential Enquiry into Maternal and Child Health (CEMACH). Saving mothers' lives: reviewing maternal deaths to make motherhood safer—2003-2005. The seventh report on confidential enquiries into maternal deaths in the United Kingdom. CEMACH, 2007.
- 14 Brown SR, Goodfellow PB. Transverse versus midline incisions for abdominal surgery. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2005;4:CD005199.
- 15 McCarthy J, Maine D. A framework for analyzing the determinants of maternal mortality. *Stud Fam Plann* 1992;23:23-33.
- 16 Vaz F, Bergstrom S, Vaz ML, Langa J, Bugalho A. Training medical assistants for surgery. Bull World Health Organ 1999;77:688-91.
- 17 Callaghan M, Ford N, Schneider H. A systematic review of taskshifting for HIV treatment and care in Africa. *Hum Resour Health* 2010;8:8.

Accepted: 11 March 2011